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This article reviews recent literature on drought of the last millennium, followed by an update on global aridity changes from 1950 to 2008. Projected future aridity is presented based on recent studies and our analysis of model simulations. Dry periods lasting for years to decades have occurred many times during the last millennium over, for example, North America, West Africa, and East Asia. These droughts were likely triggered by anomalous tropical sea surface temperatures (SSTs), with La Niña-like SST anomalies leading to drought in North America, and El-Niño-like SSTs causing drought in East China. Over Africa, the southward shift of the warmest SSTs in the Atlantic and warming in the Indian Ocean are responsible for the recent Sahel droughts. Local feedbacks may enhance and prolong drought. Global aridity has increased substantially since the 1970s due to recent drying over Africa, southern Europe, East and South Asia, and eastern Australia. Although El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), tropical Atlantic SSTs, and Asian monsoons have played a large role in the recent drying, recent warming has increased atmospheric moisture demand and likely altered atmospheric circulation patterns, both contributing to the drying. Climate models project increased aridity in the 21st century over most of Africa, southern Europe and the Middle East, most of the Americas, Australia, and Southeast Asia. Regions like the United States have avoided prolonged droughts during the last 50 years due to natural climate variations, but might see persistent droughts in the next 20–50 years. Future efforts to predict drought will depend on models’ ability to predict tropical SSTs.

WHAT IS DROUGHT?

Drought is a recurring extreme climate event over land characterized by below-normal precipitation over a period of months to years. Drought is a temporary dry period, in contrast to the permanent aridity in arid areas. Drought occurs over most parts of the world, even in wet and humid regions. This is because drought is defined as a dry spell relative to its local normal condition. On the other hand, arid areas are prone to drought because their rainfall amount critically depends on a few rainfall events.1

Drought is often classified into three types2,3: (1) **Meteorological drought** is a period of months to years with below-normal precipitation. It is often accompanied with above-normal temperatures, and precedes and causes other types of droughts. Meteorological drought is caused by persistent anomalies (e.g., high pressure) in large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns, which are often triggered by anomalous tropical sea surface temperatures (SSTs) or other remote conditions.4–6 Local feedbacks such as reduced evaporation and humidity associated with dry soils and high temperatures often enhance the atmospheric anomalies.7 (2) **Agricultural drought** is a period with dry soils that results from below-average precipitation, intense but less frequent rain events, or above-normal evaporation, all of which lead to reduced crop production and plant growth. (3) **Hydrological drought** occurs when river streamflow and water storages in aquifers, lakes, or reservoirs fall below long-term mean levels. Hydrological drought develops more slowly because it involves stored water that is depleted but not replenished. A lack of precipitation often triggers agricultural and hydrological droughts, but other factors, including more intense but less frequent precipitation, poor water management, and erosion, can
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also cause or enhance these droughts. For example, overgrazing led to elevated erosion and dust storms that amplified the Dust Bowl drought of the 1930s over the Great Plains in North America.\footnote{8}

Few extreme events are as economically and ecologically disruptive as drought, which affects millions of people in the world each year.\footnote{3} Severe drought conditions can profoundly impact agriculture, water resources, tourism, ecosystems, and basic human welfare. Over the United States, drought causes $6–8$ billion per year in damages on average, but as much as $40$ billion in 1988.\footnote{9} Drought-related disasters in the 1980s killed over half a million people in Africa.\footnote{10} The effect of drought varies with coping capabilities. For example, people living in regions with advanced irrigation systems, such as those in developed countries, can mitigate the impacts of drought much better than farmers in Africa and other developing countries who often have limited tools to combat droughts and other natural disasters. As global warming continues, the limited capabilities in developing countries will become an increasingly important issue in global efforts to mitigate the negative impact of climate change.

## HOW DO WE QUANTIFY DROUGHT?

In this section, I describe the indices commonly used to monitor and quantify drought. Drought is characterized by three main aspects: intensity, duration, and spatial coverage. Intensity is the degree of the precipitation, soil moisture, or water storage deficit; it may include consideration of the severity of the associated impacts. Drought typically lasts for several months to a few years, but extreme drought can persist for several years, or even decades for so-called mega-drought.\footnote{11} The latter is linked to SST decadal variations in the low-latitude Pacific and Indian Oceans\footnote{12,13} and the North Atlantic Ocean.\footnote{14–16}

Severe, prolonged droughts may be punctuated by short-term wet spells. Mild droughts may occur over a small region (e.g., a few counties), but severe ones can cover most of a continent, such as the Dust Bowl drought in the 1930s over North America.\footnote{5,8}

In modeling studies,\footnote{4–6} simple precipitation anomalies, preferably normalized by standard deviation (SD) over regions with large gradients, are often used to represent dry and wet conditions. Although precipitation is often the dominant factor determining the aridity of a region, local droughts and wet spells are determined by the cumulative effect of the imbalance between atmospheric water supply (i.e., precipitation or P) and demand (i.e., potential evapotranspiration or PE). The former (P) is controlled largely by atmospheric processes, whereas the latter (PE) is determined by near-surface net radiation, wind speed, and humidity.\footnote{17}

To better monitor and quantify drought, various drought indices have been developed.\footnote{10,18–21} Table 1 compares the most commonly used drought indices. A drought index usually measures the departure from the local normal condition in a moisture variable based on its historical distribution. For meteorological drought, precipitation is the primary variable in computing the indices, with secondary contributions from surface air temperature to account for the effect of evaporation in some of the indices, such as the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). For agricultural drought, soil moisture content (not always measured) is often used, whereas streamflow is commonly used in measuring hydrological drought. Keyantash and Dracup\footnote{20} evaluated the performance of a number of commonly used drought indices based on data from two local climate zones in Oregon, USA, and suggested that the rainfall deciles (RD), computed soil moisture (CSM), and total water deficit are the top performing indices for meteorological, agricultural, and hydrological drought, respectively.

The PDSI is the most prominent index of meteorological drought used in the United States.\footnote{19} It also has been used to quantify long-term changes in aridity over global land in the 20th,\footnote{35,36} and 21st\footnote{37,38} century, and in tree-ring based reconstructions of drought.\footnote{39,40}

The PDSI was created by Palmer\footnote{22} with the intent to measure the cumulative departure in surface water balance. It incorporates antecedent and current moisture supply (precipitation) and demand (PE) into a hydrological accounting system. Although the PDSI is a standardized measure, ranging from about $-10$ (dry) to $+10$ (wet), of the surface moisture condition that allows comparisons across space and time, the normal climate conditions tend to yield more severe PDSI in the Great Plains than other US regions.\footnote{41}

To improve the spatial comparability, one may re-normalize local PDSI to have a standard deviation (SD) similar to that in the central United States, where the Palmer model was calibrated,\footnote{22} or use the self-calibrated PDSI,\footnote{42} which re-calibrates to local conditions and appears to be a superior drought index.\footnote{43,44} The PDSI is also imprecise in its treatment of all precipitation as immediately available rainfall (i.e., no delayed runoff from melting snow), its lack of impact of vegetation or frozen soils on evaporation, the non-locally calibrated coefficients,\footnote{24} and some other processes.\footnote{23} For example, Hobbins et al.\footnote{35} found that the PE estimate using the Thornthwaite equation\footnote{46} in the original Palmer model could lead to errors in energy-limited regions, as
TABLE 1 | Comparison of Commonly-used Drought Indices (see Refs 20, 21 for more details)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Index Name</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Drought Classification</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>References and Applied Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meteorological drought</td>
<td>Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI)</td>
<td>Based on a 2-layer bucket-type water balance model, the PDSI measures the departure of moisture balance from a normal condition</td>
<td>−4.0 or less: extreme drought; −3.0 to −3.99: severe drought; −2.0 to −2.99: moderate drought; −1.0 to −1.99: mild drought; −0.5 to −0.99: incipient dry spell; 0.49 to −0.49: near normal</td>
<td>Considers both water supply (precipitation) and demand (potential evapotranspiration)</td>
<td>Does not work well over mountainous and snow covered areas; may require re-normalization</td>
<td>Refs 22–24; mostly the United States, but also globe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)</td>
<td>Fitting and transforming a long-term precipitation record into a normal distribution with respect to the SPI, which has zero mean and unit SD.</td>
<td>−2 or less: extremely dry; −1.5 to −1.99: severely dry; −1.0 to −1.49: moderately dry; −0.99 to 0.99: near normal</td>
<td>Can be computed for different time scales; symmetric for both dry and wet spells; relates to probability</td>
<td>Requires long-term precipitation data; no consideration of evaporation</td>
<td>Refs 25, 26; any area by drought planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rainfall Deciles (RD)</td>
<td>Ranking rainfall in the past 3 months against the climatological record of 3-month rainfall, which is divided into 10 quantiles or deciles</td>
<td>Deciles 1–2 (lowest 20%): much below normal; deciles 3–4: below normal; deciles 5–6: near normal</td>
<td>Provides a statistical measure of precipitation; performed well in limited tests</td>
<td>Requires long-term precipitation data; no consideration of evaporation</td>
<td>Ref 27; Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computed Soil Moisture (CSM)</td>
<td>Soil moisture content is computed by a land surface model forced with observed precipitation, temperature and other atmospheric forcing</td>
<td>Drought may be defined based on the percentiles of the CSM, e.g., ≤20th percentile: very dry; 20–40%: dry; 40–60%: near normal</td>
<td>Considers antecedent conditions</td>
<td>Requires atmospheric forcing data and a land surface model</td>
<td>Refs 28–30; the United States, globe model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palmer Moisture Anomaly Index (Z-index)</td>
<td>The Z-index is the moisture anomaly for the current month in the Palmer model</td>
<td>Percentiles of the Z-index may be used to define drought</td>
<td>Rapid response to current precipitation deficit</td>
<td>Does not consider antecedent conditions</td>
<td>Refs 22, 24; the United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total water deficit (S)</td>
<td>[ S = D \times M, \text{ where } D \text{ is the duration during which the streamflow is below the normal level and } M \text{ is the average departure of streamflow from the long term mean during period } D ]</td>
<td>S may need normalization in defining drought</td>
<td>Simple calculation</td>
<td>No sub-basin information, no standard drought classification</td>
<td>Ref 31; the United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palmer Hydrological Drought Index (PHDI)</td>
<td>Computed using the same Palmer model as for the PDSI, but with a more stringent criterion for the termination of the drought or wet spell</td>
<td>Values similar to PDSI, but with smoother variations</td>
<td>Use of a water balance model to account for the effect of both precipitation and temperature</td>
<td>Does not work well over mountainous and snow covered areas; may require re-normalization</td>
<td>Ref 22; mostly the United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI)</td>
<td>Calculated by river basin based on snowpack, streamflow, precipitation, and reservoir storage</td>
<td>Normalized values similar to PDSI</td>
<td>Considers snowpack and water storage</td>
<td>Basin-dependent formulations</td>
<td>Refs 32, 33; the western United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought Area Index (DAI)</td>
<td>Percentage of a given region under drought condition based on a drought intensity index</td>
<td>Drought is defined based on a separate index</td>
<td>Quantifies drought areal extent</td>
<td>Does not provide the mean intensity of drought over the region</td>
<td>Ref 34; anywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought Severity Index (DSI)</td>
<td>Area-weighted mean of a drought intensity index over the drought area in a given region</td>
<td>Drought is defined based on a separate index</td>
<td>Quantifies drought severity over a region</td>
<td>Does not provide drought areal extent</td>
<td>Ref 35; anywhere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Thornthwaite PE is based only on temperature and latitude. This error can be minimized, however, by using the Penman-Monteith (PM) equation, which accounts for the effects of radiation, humidity, and wind speed and works best over Australia in a comparison of various PE formulations by Donohue et al.\textsuperscript{47}

Despite these caveats, PDSI values are significantly correlated with measured soil moisture content in the warm season and streamflow over many regions over the world,\textsuperscript{36} and thus can be used as a drought index over the low and middle latitudes. Furthermore, the PDSI uses both precipitation and surface air temperature as input, in contrast to many other drought indices that are based on precipitation alone\textsuperscript{20} (Table 1). This allows the PDSI to account for the basic effect of surface warming, such as that occurred during the 20\textsuperscript{th} century\textsuperscript{36} and may occur in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century\textsuperscript{38} on droughts and wet spells. The effect of surface temperature, which accounts for 10–30% of PDSI’s variance, comes through PE. As precipitation and surface air temperature are the only two climate variables with long historical records, the PDSI makes full use of these data and can be readily calculated for the last hundred years or so for most land areas.\textsuperscript{36}

For model-projected 21\textsuperscript{st} century climate with large warming, drought indices that consider precipitation only and do not account for changes in atmospheric demand for moisture due to increased radiative heating and surface warming may not work well. Even for the indices that consider the whole surface water budget, such as the PDSI, the interpretation of their values for the future climate may need to be revised. This is because all the drought indices have been defined and calibrated for the current climate. But with the large warming trend in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century, the future PDSI is greatly out of the range for the current climate (cf. section on How Will Droughts Change in Coming Decades?).

HOW ARE DROUGHTS CHANGING AROUND THE WORLD?

In this section, I first provide a historical perspective by examining how drought has varied over many regions of the world during the last millennium, and then present aridity changes since 1950, when instrumental records are relatively abundant and rapid warming has occurred, especially since the late 1970s. I then discuss the causes of the recent aridity changes, especially their relationship with greenhouse gas (GHG) induced global warming.

Long-term Historical Perspective

Drought is a normal part of climate variations. Tree-ring and other proxy data, together with instrumental records, have revealed that large-scale droughts have occurred many times during the past 1000 years over many parts of the world, including North America,\textsuperscript{40,46–50} Mexico,\textsuperscript{16,51} Asia,\textsuperscript{52–64} Africa,\textsuperscript{65,66} and Australia.\textsuperscript{67,68} For example, successive “megadroughts”, unprecedented in persistence (20–40 year) yet similar in severity and spatial distribution to the major droughts experienced in modern-day’s North America, occurred during a 400-year-long period in the early to middle part of the second millennium AD over western North America (Figure 1; Ref 49). Compared with these multi-decadal droughts, the modern-day droughts in the 1930s and 1950s had similar intensity but shorter durations. It is suggested\textsuperscript{13,49} that these medieval megadroughts were
likely triggered by multi-decadal La Niña-like SST patterns in the tropical Pacific Ocean, as is the case for the 19th and 20th centuries, including the Dust Bowl drought of the 1930s when elevated dust loading may also have enhanced the drought. The La Niña-like SST patterns in the tropical Pacific may also cause drought conditions in other parts of the extra-tropics. Other studies also suggest a significant role of the Atlantic multi-decadal Oscillation (AMO) in causing prolonged droughts over the United States and Mexico, although the AMO’s role is found to through its modulation of El Niño-Southern Oscillation’s (ENSO) influence in model simulations.

Over East China, historical records revealed that large-scale droughts occurred many times during the last 500 years, with more widespread droughts during 1500–1730 and 1900 to present and fewer ones from 1730 to 1900 (Figure 2). The severe droughts in East China, such as those occurred in 1586–1589, 1638–1641, and 1965–1966, usually develop first in North China (34°–40°N), and then either expand southward or move to the Yangtze River Valley (27°–34°N) and the northern part of the southeastern coastal area (22°–27°N). Similar southward migration (at ~3° latitude/decade) of multi-year dry and wet anomalies was also found in the western United States where the anomalies first developed in the higher latitudes of the western United States. A weakened summer monsoon and an anomalous west- and northward displacement of the western Pacific Subtropical High are linked to severe droughts in East China. It is also suggested that large volcanic eruptions might be a trigger for severe droughts in East China, and El Niño-like warming in the tropical Pacific could lead to weakened summer monsoons and thus drier conditions in East China. Although Zhang et al. did not find a consistent association between aridity and temperature anomalies during the past millennium over the Yangtze Delta region, the apparent trend toward more widespread dry conditions since the early 20th century over entire East China (Figure 2) is of great concern.

West Africa, where the severe and widespread Sahel droughts of the 1970s and 1980s (Figure 3) devastated the local population, has been the subject of a very large number of studies. Proxy data for African lake levels (Figure 4) indicate that very dry and wet periods occurred in the early and late part of the 19th century, respectively, over West and East Africa. The recent Sahel drought is not unusual in the context of the past three millennia, which indicates that natural monsoon variations in West Africa are capable of causing severe droughts in the future. Many studies have shown that the recent Sahel droughts resulted primarily from a southward shift of the warmest SSTs and the associated inter-tropical convergence zone (ITCZ) in the tropical Atlantic, and the steady warming in the Indian Ocean, which enhances subsidence over West Africa through Rossby waves. Reduced vegetation cover and surface evaporation may have provided a positive feedback that enhances and prolongs the droughts.

Global Aridity Changes Since 1950
Instrumental records of precipitation, streamflow, cloudiness, surface radiation, humidity, winds, and
FIGURE 3 | Annual time series averaged over the Sahel (18°W–20°E, 10°N–20°N, land only) for observed precipitation from 1921 to 2008 (black), Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (red) and CLM3-simulated top-1 m soil moisture content (green). The precipitation and soil moisture are shown as normalized anomalies in units of standard deviation (SD).

Other drought-relevant variables are sparse before around 1950 over most of the globe. The period since 1950 also has experienced rapid increases in global surface temperature and atmospheric CO$_2$ and other GHGs.$^{90}$ Thus, aridity changes since 1950 may provide insights on whether drought will become more frequent and widespread under global warming in the coming decades, although natural variations such as those revealed by proxy data (section Long-term Historical Perspective) are needed in assessing long-term trends. Many studies have examined recent hydroclimate trends over various regions,$^{67,91–94}$ and some studies$^{30,95}$ applied land model-simulated soil moisture, forced by observation-based atmospheric forcing, to characterize historical droughts.

In this section, an update and synthesis are given of the global analyses of precipitation,$^{96–98}$ PDSI,$^{35,36}$ streamflow,$^{39}$ and model-simulated soil moisture$^{29,93}$ to depict aridity changes from 1950 to 2008 over global land. The use of multiple variables in the analysis should alleviate the deficiencies associated with individual drought indices and provide increased confidence. Here, land precipitation data were derived by merging the monthly anomaly data from Dai et al.$^{96}$ for the period before 1948, Chen et al.$^{97}$ for 1948–1978, and Huffman et al.$^{98}$ for 1979 to present. The merging was done through re-scaling the different data sets to have the same mean of Ref 98 over a common data period (1979–1996). Surface air temperature data used for the PDSI calculation were derived, as in Dai et al.,$^{36}$ by combining the HadCRUT3 anomalies$^{100,101}$ and CRU climatology, both from http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/temperature/. We also examined the newly released GPCC v4 gridded land precipitation data from 1901 to 2007 (ftp://ftp-anon.dwd.de/pub/data/gpcc/html/fulldata_download.html) and found that for the period since around 1950, the GPCC v4 showed changes similar to our merged precipitation data, but for 1901–1949 the GPCC v4 showed different change patterns that are inconsistent with previous analyses.$^{96}$ Unlike Dai et al.$^{96}$ the GPCC v4 product has data over areas without rain-gauges nearby, often filled with climatological values that make it difficult to assess which regions had no observations and thus should be skipped in the analysis.

Figure 5 shows the trend maps for annual surface air temperature, precipitation, and runoff (inferred from streamflow records) since around 1950. From 1950 to 2008, most land areas have warmed up by 1–3°C, with the largest warming over northern Asia and northern North America (Figure 5(a)). During the same period, precipitation decreased over most of Africa, southern Europe, South and East Asia, eastern Australia, Central America, central Pacific coasts of North America, and some parts of South America (Figure 5(b)). As a result, runoff over river basins in these regions has decreased (Figure 5(c)). The broadly consistent trend patterns between the independent records of precipitation and streamflow suggest that the broad patterns exhibited by the precipitation data (Figure 5(b)) are likely reliable. The precipitation change patterns are also consistent with satellite-observed vegetation changes, for example, over Australia since the 1980s.$^{102}$

To account for the basic effect of temperature on surface water balance, monthly PDSI from 1850 to 2008 was calculated using the precipitation and temperature data used in Figure 5 with the Thornthwaite (an update to Dai et al.$^{36}$ referred to as PDSI) and Penman-Monteith [Eq. (4.2.14) of Shuttleworth$^{17}$; referred to as PDSI.pm] equation
for PE, separately. In addition, the self-calibrated PDSI of Wells et al.$^{42}$ with the Penman-Monteith PE (sc_PDSI\_pm) was also calculated. Besides the precipitation and temperature data, additional forcing data of surface specific humidity, wind speed, and air pressure from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis were used, together with cloud cover from surface observations$^{101}$ and surface net solar radiation from the Community Land Model version 3 (CLM3) simulation,$^{29}$ in which observed cloud cover$^{29}$ was used to estimate surface downward solar radiation. Surface net longwave radiation was estimated using observed near-surface air temperature, vapor pressure and cloud fraction based on Eq. (4.2.14) of Shuttleworth.$^{17}$ We emphasize that large uncertainties likely exist in the data for surface wind speed and radiation used here, as gridded, high-quality data for these fields are unavailable over the global land. Because of this, the PDSI results may not fully reflect the impact of the actual changes in wind speed$^{104}$ and radiation on aridity since 1950.$^{47}$ More details on the PDSI characteristics are given in Dai.$^{105}$

**FIGURE 4** | Historical fluctuations in African lake levels since 1800 (higher values for wet periods). Except for Lake Ngami, solid lines indicate modern measurements, short dashed lines indicate historical information, and long dashed lines indicate general trends. (Reprinted with permission from Ref 79. Copyright 2001 Springer.)
As in Dai et al.,

Figure 6 shows that global PDSI fields from 1900 to 2008 contain two robust modes of variability, with the first mode representing a long-term trend (Figure 6(a)) of drying over Africa, South and East Asia, eastern Australia, northern South America, southern Europe, and most of Alaska and western Canada (red areas in Figure 6(b)). This mode is expected given that a similar trend mode is seen in land precipitation fields. The second mode is associated with the ENSO, because its temporal variations are correlated with an ENSO index (red line in Figure 6(c)) and its spatial patterns (Figure 6(d)) resemble those of ENSO-induced precipitation anomalies. The fact that the global PDSI can capture two physically sound modes provides some confidence for using it as a proxy of aridity over global land, besides its correlation with available observations of soil moisture and streamflow.

Figure 7 compares the trend patterns in PDSI, PDSI_{pm}, sc_PDSI_{pm}, and top-1 m soil moisture content from the CLM3 simulation forced with observed precipitation, temperature, and other atmospheric forcing (see Ref 29 for details). Although the CLM3 simulation accounts for the effect of cloud-induced
changes in radiation on evaporation, data for surface wind speed, which affects surface evaporation,\textsuperscript{104} were taken directly from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis and thus may not reflect actual changes. Furthermore, the CLM3 has deficiencies in simulating snow hydrology, permafrost, ground water, and other processes. Nevertheless, the CLM3 simulates the land surface processes, including evaporation and soil moisture, in a much more comprehensive way than the Palmer model for PDSI, and the CLM3 simulation captures most of the observed variations and changes in available soil moisture and streamflow records over the globe.\textsuperscript{29,99} Thus, the CLM3-simulated soil moisture provides another measure of aridity and wetness.

The trends of the PDSI, PDSI\textsubscript{pm}, and sc\textsubscript{PDSI}\textsubscript{pm} from 1950 to 2008 are very similar (Figure 7(a)–(c)), with some quantitative differences over Brazil, southern Africa, Australia, and a few other places, and slightly smaller magnitudes for the sc\textsubscript{PDSI}\textsubscript{pm} trend as it is calibrated to a narrower range.\textsuperscript{105} This suggests that the PDSI trends are not sensitive to the PE calculations over most land areas, given the observed cloud cover changes and the surface wind and humidity from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis. Furthermore, Figure 7 shows that the three forms of the PDSI and the CLM3-simulated soil moisture exhibit similar trend patterns, with some regional differences, e.g., over Indonesia. For instance, both the PDSI and soil moisture suggest drying during the last 50–60 years over much of Africa, East and South Asia, eastern Australia, southern Europe, Alaska and northern Canada (except the Arctic areas), while it has become wetter in the United States and most of Western Australia. To the first order, these patterns are similar to those for trends in precipitation (Figure 5(b)) and runoff (Figure 5(c)), except for the northern high latitudes (e.g., Siberia and Alaska) where the upward runoff trend might have partly resulted from thawing of the permafrost, which is not well simulated in the CLM3 and not at all in the Palmer model, or due to errors in the precipitation data.\textsuperscript{99,107} Given that precipitation largely controls the surface water balance over most land areas, it is
not unexpected that the PDSI from the simple Palmer model can broadly reproduce the soil moisture trend patterns from the much more comprehensive CLM3. We also note that the trend in the CLM3-simulated soil moisture (Figure 7(d)) is broadly comparable with those simulated by a different land surface model with different forcing data for 1950–2000 by Sheffield and Wood.95

Figure 8 shows the annual time series averaged over global (60°S–75°N) land since 1950 for the PDSI, PDSIpm, and top-1 m soil moisture content from two CLM3 simulations that were forced with different intra-monthly forcing and different monthly data for surface wind speed and humidity, together with the PDSI and PDSIpm computed using all the forcing data except with fixed temperature (dashed

---

**FIGURE 7** | Maps of annual trends (red = drying) from 1950 to 2008 in PDSI (change per 50 years) with potential evapotranspiration (PE) calculated using the (a) Thornthwaite and (b) Penman-Monteith (PM) equation, and (c) annual trends in self-calibrated PDSI with the PM potential evaporation. Also shown (d) is the trend in top-1 m soil moisture content (mm/50 years) from 948 to 2004 simulated by a land surface model (CLM3) forced by observation-based atmospheric forcing (see Ref 29 for details).
to be a more reasonable measure of aridity than the monthly PDSI. PDSI shows an upward trend since the middle 1980s.

Precipitation over land areas. Figure 9 shows that the percentage dry area stayed around 14–20% from ∼1950 to 1982, when it experienced a sharp jump (by 10%) due to the 1982/83 El Niño, which reduced precipitation over may land areas. Thereafter, an upward trend is evident in all but the PDSI and PDSI_pm with fixed temperature cases (dashed lines in Figure 9), which show little trend from 1983 to 2008. We note that the PDSI case tends to overestimate the recent drying compared with the PDSI_pm and CLM3 cases.

These results suggest that precipitation was the dominant driver for the changes in the terrestrial water budget before the early 1980s; thereafter, surface warming and cloud-induced changes in solar radiation and other fields (i.e., wind speed and humidity) also became important. Furthermore, the PDSI_pm appears to be a more reasonable measure of aridity than the original PDSI, as the PDSI_pm also considers changes in surface radiation and other fields and thus is more comparable to the CLM3 simulations, although the trend patterns are similar (Figure 7). The SC-PDSI_pm is very similar to PDSI_pm, with slightly reduced magnitudes as a result of the local calibration.

Can the Recent Changes be Attributed to Human Activities?
The sharp decreases in the PDSI and soil moisture from the late 1970s to the early 1990s (Figure 8) mainly result from precipitation decreases in Africa and East Asia. As mentioned above, the recent drought in Africa is related to SST pattern changes in the Atlantic and steady warming in the Indian Ocean. The warming in the Indian Ocean is likely related to recent global warming, which is largely attributed to human-induced GHG increases. The southward shift of the warmest SSTs in the tropical Atlantic is, however, likely a natural variation because GHG-induced warming is larger in the North Atlantic than in the South Atlantic Ocean, although the role of anthropogenic aerosols cannot be ruled out. Over East Asia, there is a decadal change around the late 1970s in rainfall patterns and associated summer monsoon circulation, which has become weaker since the late 1970s. Increased aerosol loading from human-induced air pollution and warming in tropical SSTs may both have played a major role for the rainfall changes over East Asia. Model simulations also suggest that increased aerosol loading over the Northern Hemisphere may have played an important role in the recent drying over the Sahel and other tropical precipitation changes; however, current models still have difficulties in simulating the
underlying physical processes because of large model biases in tropical precipitation.\textsuperscript{111} A large part of the recent drying (Figure 9) is related to the shift toward more intense and frequent warm events (i.e., El Niños) of ENSO since the late 1970s.\textsuperscript{112} This is because El Niños often reduce precipitation over many low-latitude land areas.\textsuperscript{71,72,106} This shift in ENSO is statistically a rare event, but it is unknown whether this is related to recent global warming. Some climate models predict an El Niño-like warming pattern in the tropical Pacific under increased GHGs, but it is not a robust response in all models.\textsuperscript{113–115} Given that current climate models still have large deficiencies in simulating ENSO and other tropical variability,\textsuperscript{116,117} we cannot attribute the recent ENSO shift (and thus the related jump toward drying over land) to anthropogenic forcing or natural variability.

Besides the El Niño-related drying, the above results also show that the recent surface warming has enhanced evaporative demand over land and contributed to the drying since the 1980s. Because a large part of the recent surface warming is attributed to human-induced GHG increases,\textsuperscript{90} we can conclude that anthropogenic GHG increases have contributed to the recent drying over land. Furthermore, the increased GHGs likely have contributed to the warming in the Indian Ocean and the tropical Pacific, which in turn have contributed to the drying in Africa and East Asia, respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that human activities have contributed significantly to the recent drying over land, although natural variations in ENSO, tropical Atlantic SSTs, and Asian monsoons also have played a large role.

**HOW WILL DROUGHTS CHANGE IN COMING DECADES?**

In this section, I present an assessment of how droughts might change based on future climates simulated by numerical models. It is of a great concern that extreme climate events such as droughts are expected to experience large percentage changes.\textsuperscript{118} As the land surface warms up due to increased downward longwave radiation from increased water vapor and other GHGs, atmospheric demand for water vapor (i.e., PE) could increase,\textsuperscript{119,120} as shown by the time series differences between the dP and dP + dT cases in Figures 8 and 9. However, other factors such as surface net solar radiation, humidity, and wind speed also affect PE. If atmospheric water supply (i.e., precipitation) over a region does not match or exceed increases in PE, then aridity would increase.

Figure 10\textsuperscript{114} shows the IPCC AR4 multimodel ensemble-mean change from 1980–1999 to 2080–2099 under the SRES A1B (a medium emissions) scenario for annual (a) precipitation, (b) soil moisture, (c) runoff, and (d) evaporation. Unlike evaporation, which increases over most of the globe except for a few arid land regions and a few oceanic areas (where cooling occurs), precipitation shows widespread decreases around the subtropical zones. This precipitation decrease is attributed to the widening of the descending branches of the Hadley circulation\textsuperscript{121} and increased atmospheric stability at the margins of tropical convection.\textsuperscript{122,123} Over land, however, the change patterns are similar between evaporation and precipitation, which further suggests that evaporation over land is controlled primarily
FIGURE 10 | Multi-model mean changes from 1980–1999 to 2080–2099 under the SRES A1B scenario in annual (a) precipitation (mm/day), (b) soil moisture (%), (c) runoff (mm/day), and (d) evaporation (mm/day). The stippling indicates where at least 80% of the models agree on the sign of the mean change. (Reprinted with permission from Ref 114. Copyright 2007 Cambridge University Press.)

by precipitation. Runoff change patterns generally follow those of land precipitation (Figure 10(a) and (c)), which is the main driver for runoff. On the other hand, soil moisture shows quite different change patterns (Figure 10(b)) that indicate drying over most of the land areas including most of the northern mid-high latitudes, where precipitation is increased. Even at low latitudes (e.g., southern Asia and northwestern South America), soil moisture changes do not always match precipitation changes (Figure 10). This demonstrates that one should not use total precipitation alone to measure changes in aridity or drought, as done in many studies. Increased heavy precipitation and reduced light to moderate rain can increase the runoff to precipitation ratio, and increases in surface air temperature and radiative heating can lead to higher atmospheric demand for moisture. These processes can result in drier soils even if the precipitation amount increases. Figure 10 also shows that many of the AR4 models produce different soil moisture changes (of opposite sign) over many regions where they agree on the sign of changes in temperature, precipitation, evaporation, and runoff, such as the northern high latitudes. This implies large uncertainties in simulating land hydrology and soil moisture response in current models.

Analyzing soil moisture data from the IPCC AR4 simulations from 15 coupled models under the SRES A1B scenario, Wang found general drying over most of the global land except part of the northern mid- and high-latitudes during the non-growing season and warned a world-wide agricultural drought by the late 21st century. Examining soil moisture data from eight AR4 models, Sheffield and Wood found that global soil moisture decreases in all of the models for all scenarios with a doubling of both the spatial extent of severe soil moisture deficits and frequency of short-term (4–6-month duration) droughts from the mid-20th century to the end of the 21st century, while long-term (>12 months) droughts become three times more common.

Besides soil moisture, other drought indices also have been computed using surface fields from model outputs and used to assess future drought changes. Using data from the Hadley Centre atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM) and...
other AGCM 2× CO₂ equilibrium runs, Burke and Brown computed four difference drought indices, including the PDSI.pm. They found that, despite regional differences, all of the indices that take atmospheric moisture demand into account suggest a significant increase in global drought areas when CO₂ doubles.

Here monthly PDSI.pm and sc_PDSI.pm were computed using multi-model ensemble-mean monthly data of precipitation, surface air temperature, specific humidity, net radiation, wind speed, and air pressure from 22 coupled climate models participated in the IPCC AR4, and used to assess changes in aridity over global land. Thus, these PDSI values may be interpreted as for the multi-model mean climate conditions. As the PDSI is a slow varying variable, the lack of high-frequency variability in the ensemble-mean climate is unlikely to induce mean biases.

Figure 11 shows the select decadal-mean sc_PDSI.pm maps from the 1950s to 2090s from the IPCC 20th century (20C3M) and SRES A1B scenario simulations. Results for PDSI.pm are similar with slightly larger magnitudes. A striking feature is that aridity increases since the late 20th century and becomes severe drought (sc_PDSI.pm ≤ −3) by the 2060s over most of Africa, southern Europe and the Middle East, most of Americas (except Alaska and northern Canada, Uruguay, and northeastern Argentina), Australia, and Southeast Asia; while it becomes progressively wetter over most of central and northern Eurasia, Alaska and northern Canada, and India. This feature is also evident in the trend maps of PDSI.pm shown by Burke et al. using data from the Hadley Centre coupled model alone under the SRES A2 (a high emissions) scenario. Increased precipitation (Figure 10(a)) is the main cause for the wetting over central and northern Eurasia, northern North America, India, Uruguay and northeastern Argentina, and eastern central Africa; while precipitation decreases over Central America, the Southwest United States, the Mediterranean region, and southern Africa are largely responsible for the drying there. Over many mid-latitude and subtropical regions (e.g., northern Australia and Southeast Asia, Figure 10(a)), however, increased evaporation is a major cause for the increased aridity (Figure 11).

The patterns shown in Figure 11 are consistent with published regional analyses of projected aridity changes in the 21st century, often by a smaller number of models than used here. These include decreases in precipitation-minus-evaporation over southwestern North America and Mexico, streamflow decreases over most of (except northern) Europe, increases in drought frequencies over most of Australia. Figure 11 is also broadly consistent with the trends in model-simulated soil moisture (Figure 10), and the PDSI.pm trends of Burke et al. and Burke and Brown. We emphasize that quantitative interpretation of the PDSI values shown in Figure 11 requires caution because many of the PDSI values, which are calibrated to the 1950–1979 model climate, are well out of the range for the current climate, based on which the PDSI was designed. Nevertheless, Figure 11, together with all the other studies cited above, suggests that drought may become so widespread and so severe in the coming decades that current drought indices may no longer work properly in quantifying future drought.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this article, a brief review is presented on the definition of drought, common drought indices, and recent literature on the occurrence and causes of drought in the 20th and earlier centuries, followed by an updated analysis of global aridity changes from 1950 to 2008 using multiple sources of data. Projected aridity changes in the 21st century are also presented based on published studies and our new analysis of model output from simulations under the SRES A1B scenario by 22 coupled models that participated in the IPCC AR4.

Recent studies revealed that persistent dry periods lasting for multiple years to several decades have occurred many times during the last 500–1000 years over North America, West Africa, and East Asia. These historical droughts are linked to tropical SST variations, with La Niña-like SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific often leading to widespread drought in North America, and El-Niño-like SST warming in the Pacific causing drought in East China. Over Africa, the southward shift of the warmest SSTs in the tropical Atlantic and warming in the Indian Ocean are the main causes of the recent Sahel droughts. Land cover changes and local feedbacks, such as increased dust loading during the Dust Bowl drought over North America in the 1930s, decreased vegetation cover in the Sahel during the 1970s and 1980s, and reduced local evaporation and relative humidity during droughts in general, may enhance and prolong droughts triggered by tropical SSTs or other anomalies in atmospheric circulations.

Since the middle 20th century, global aridity and drought areas have increased substantially, mainly due to widespread drying since the 1970s...
over Africa, southern Europe, East and South Asia, eastern Australia, and many parts of the northern mid-high latitudes. Although natural variations in ENSO, tropical Atlantic SSTs, and Asian monsoons have played a large role in the recent drying, the rapid warming since the late 1970s has increased atmospheric demand for moisture and likely altered atmospheric circulation patterns (e.g., over Africa and East Asia), both contributing to the recent drying over land. Since a large part of the recent warming is attributed to human-induced GHG increases, it can be concluded that human activities have contributed significantly to the recent drying trend.

Reduced pan evaporation, a proxy for PE, over Australia, East China, and other regions during recent decades may alleviate the drying trend induced primarily by precipitation, temperature, and cloudiness changes examined here. Nevertheless, the precipitation and streamflow records (Figure 5(b) and (c)) and previous studies all show drying over East Australia and much of East China during the recent decades. This suggests that the effect of the reduced PE on aridity is likely secondary to that of recent changes in precipitation and temperature over these regions.

Coupled climate models used in the IPCC AR4 project increased aridity in the 21st century, with a striking pattern that suggests continued drying over most of Africa, southern Europe and the Middle East, most of Americas (except Alaska, northern Canada, Uruguay, and northeastern Argentina), Australia, and Southeast Asia. Some of these regions, such as the United States, have fortunately avoided prolonged droughts during the last 50 years mainly due to decadal variations in ENSO and other climate modes, but people living in these regions may see a switch to persistent severe droughts in the next 20–50 years, depending on how ENSO and other natural variability modulate the GHG-induced drying. As cuts to global GHG emissions are hard to
materialize, geoengineering (e.g., by putting sulfate aerosols into the stratosphere) as the last resort to combat global warming has been proposed, but it may cause widespread drought and other unintended adverse effects. Many of current AGCMs are capable of simulating the precipitation deficits during recent droughts over North America and Africa given the observed global (especially tropical) SST anomalies. Further advances in model developments may make it possible to predict drought on seasonal to decadal time scales. A big challenge for such predictions will be predicting tropical SST variations on seasonal to decadal time scales, which requires coupled GCMs (CGCMs) and estimates of future GHGs, aerosols, and other external forcing (e.g., the solar cycle and volcanic eruptions). However, current CGCMs still have large deficiencies in simulating tropical precipitation, ENSO, the intra-seasonal oscillation, and other tropical variability. Substantial improvements will be required before the CGCMs can be used to predict tropical SST variations on seasonal to decadal time scales that would enable prediction of droughts over North America, Africa, Asia, Australia, and other parts of the world.

Besides the tropical deficiencies, current climate models still have large deficiencies in simulating precipitation frequency and intensity, clouds, aerosols' effects, land hydrology, and other processes; and future emissions such as aerosol loading may be very different from those used in the IPCC AR4 21st century simulations. Furthermore, large regional differences exist among the models and among different drought indices. It is also possible that the PM equation overestimates PE under the warming climate of the 21st century and that the current drought indices such as the PDSI may not be applicable to the future climate. On the other hand, the PDSI and the PM equation have worked for the current and past climates. The fact that they may not work for the 21st century climate itself is a troubling sign. Despite all these uncertainties, the large-scale pattern shown in Figure 11 appears to be a robust response to increased GHGs. This is very alarming because if the drying is anything resembling Figure 11, a very large population will be severely affected in the coming decades over the whole United States, southern Europe, Southeast Asia, Brazil, Chile, Australia, and most of Africa.

As alarming as Figure 11 shows, there may still be other processes that could cause additional drying over land under global warming that are not included in the PDSI calculation. For example, both thermodynamic arguments and climate model simulations suggest that precipitation may become more intense but less frequent (i.e., longer dry spells) under GHG-induced global warming. This may increase flash floods and runoff, but diminish soil moisture and increase the risk of agricultural drought.

Given the dire predictions for drought, adaptation measures for future climate changes should consider the possibility of increased aridity and widespread drought in coming decades. Lessons learned from dealing with past severe droughts, such as the Sahel drought during the 1970s and 1980s, may be helpful in designing adaptation strategies for future droughts.
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An error resulted from a discontinuity in reading the CMIP3 model data, which led to enlarged PDSI changes in Fig. 11. However, it does not change the drying patterns and the basic conclusions, although the quantitative references to Fig. 11 in the text of the paper may need to be adjusted accordingly. This error does not affect other results of the paper.

Figure 11 | (Corrected version) Mean annual sc-PDSI pm for years (a) 1950–1959, (b) 1975–1984, (c) 2000–2009, (d) 2030–2039, (e) 2060–2069, and (f) 2090–2099 calculated using the 22-model ensemble-mean surface air temperature, precipitation, humidity, net radiation, and wind speed used in the IPCC AR4 from the 20th century and SRES A1B 21st century simulations. Red to pink areas are extremely dry (severe drought) conditions while blue colors indicate wet areas relative to the 1950–1979 mean.
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